

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 3363-3366

Adducts of Tröger bases and activated acetylenes: synthesis and structure[☆]

D. A. Lenev,^{a,*} I. I. Chervin,^a K. A. Lyssenko^b and R. G. Kostyanovsky^a

^aSemenov Institute of Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences 4 ul. Kosygina, 119991 Moscow, Russia ^bNesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, 28 ul. Vavilova, 119991, Moscow, Russia

> Received 10 January 2007; revised 3 March 2007; accepted 14 March 2007 Available online 19 March 2007

Abstract—It was found by X-ray diffraction and NMR studies that the structure of the adduct of bis-*ortho*-methyl-bis-*para*-methyl substituted Tröger base and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate is a [3.3.1]bicyclic compound corresponding to the methyl 13-[1-(meth-oxycarbonyl)vinyl]-13-carboxylate. Chemical and NMR evidence supported similar structures for all other reported adducts of Trö-ger bases and acetylenes.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Tröger bases (TBs) **1** are inherently chiral heterocycles with a saturated bicyclic core (highlighted).² The chemical properties of TBs can be divided into the reactions of the saturated core and those of the aromatic moieties. Aromatic reactions are non-specific and include, for example, Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling.³ Reactions of the bicyclic core include racemization⁴ and diastereo-merization⁵ in the presence of acids, N-mono- and dial-kylation,⁶ benzylic C-lithiation in the presence of BF₃ and then C-alkylation,⁷ and reactions with loss of the methano-bridge. Thus, TBs can be di-N-acylated, di-N-nitrosylated⁸ or converted into ethano-TBs⁹ with loss of formaldehyde.



It has been reported recently that the parent TB **2** $(R^1 = H, R^2 = Me)^{10}$ reacts with activated acetylenes in the presence of ZnBr₂ in MeCN to give [3.3.3]bicylic products **3** (Scheme 1).¹¹ We were eager to study this



Scheme 1.

th Asymmetric Nitrogen. Part 101. For part 100, see Ref. 1.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +7 495 9397245; fax: +7 495 1378284; e-mail: lenev@polymer.chph.ras.ru

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.03.076



Scheme 2.



Figure 1. Projection of the X-ray crystal structure of compound 4 $(R^1 = R^2 = R = Me, R^3 = CO_2Me).$

reaction with bis-*ortho*-methyl substituted TBs 2 ($R^1 = Me$) in order to investigate the effect of substitu-

ents. We found that bis-*ortho*-substitution makes TBs practically inert to racemization in acidic media.^{4f-h} Furthermore, it has been shown recently that an analogous effect of bis-*ortho*-substitution is observed for diastereomerization of TBs.^{5d}

The reaction of TB 2 ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$) with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O gave a product, to which we assigned the structure as methyl 13-[1-(methoxycarbonyl)vinyl]-13-carboxylate 4 ($R^1 = R^2 = R = Me$, $R^3 = CO_2Me$) (Scheme 2).¹² The assignment of structure 4 was based on ¹H NMR¹² and X-ray diffraction data¹³ (Fig. 1).

The isomeric structures 4 and 3 contradict each other. We turned our attention to the original publication on the synthesis of compounds 3 to analyze if the reactivity of TB 2 ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$) had been affected by the different substitution or the use of different Lewis acid and whether structure 3 was correct. We looked at the chemical shifts of the protons H_a and H_b (Schemes 1 and 2). In compound 4 ($R^1 = R^2 = R = Me$, $R^3 = CO_2Me$) and compound 3 these protons are in the region of 6 ppm, which is appropriate for protons of unsaturated bonds but very unusual for allylic protons. Moreover, the expected geminal H_aH_b-coupling constants for 3 were





Scheme 4. The proposed mechanism of formation of the adducts of TBs and activated acetylenes (only saturated regions of the molecules are shown).

absent, whereas in 4 ($\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} = Me$, $\mathbb{R}^3 = CO_2Me$) ²J = 0.9 Hz, which is typical for methylene double bond protons, but unprecedented for protons at an sp³-carbon. Therefore, we conclude that the [3.3.3]bicyclic structure **3** is incorrect and should be substituted by the [3.3.1]bicyclic structure **4**. The chemical reactivity of the adduct between methyl propiolate and TB **2** ($\mathbb{R}^1 = H$, $\mathbb{R}^2 = Me$) (**5**, Scheme 3), studied by the same authors,¹¹ supported our conclusion. Thus, compound **5** was hydrogenated to give the diastereomeric mixture **6** (Scheme 3).

The mechanism for the formation of products **4** may involve an allylic rearrangement promoted by the Lewis acid (Scheme 4).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No. 06-03-32840), the Russian Academy of Sciences (OKhNM programmes) and INTAS (Grant No. YS 04-83-3442). We are grateful to Dr. Bohumil Dolenský (Prague) for the kind possibility to use his review article on Tröger bases.

References and notes

- Shtamburg, V. G.; Shishkin, O. V.; Zubatyuk, R. I.; Kravchenko, S. V.; Tsygankov, A. V.; Shtamburg, V. V.; Distanov, V. B.; Kostyanovsky, R. G. Mendeleev Commun., in press.
- For recent review articles see: (a) Valík, M.; Strongin, R. M.; Král, V. Supramol. Chem. 2005, 17, 347–367; (b) Dolenský, B.; Elguero, J.; Král, V.; Pardo, C.; Valík, M. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem., in press.
- (a) Jensen, J.; Wärnmark, K. Synthesis 2001, 1873–1877;
 (b) Hof, F.; Schaer, M.; Scofield, D. M.; Fischer, F.; Diederich, F.; Sergeyev, S. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2005, 88, 2333–2344;
 (c) Kiehne, U.; Luetzen, A. Synthesis 2004, 1687–1695;
 (d) Solano, C.; Svensson, D.; Olomi, Z.;

Jensen, J.; Wendt, O. F.; Wärnmark, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 3510–3517.

- (a) Prelog, V.; Wieland, P. Helv. Chim. Acta 1944, 27, 1127–1134; (b) Greenberg, A.; Molinaro, N.; Lang, M. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1127–1130; (c) Wilen, S. H.; Qi, J. Z.; Williard, P. G. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 485–487; (d) Trapp, O.; Trapp, G.; Kong, J.; Hahn, U.; Vogtle, F.; Schurig, V. Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, 3629–3634; (e) Kostyanovsky, R. G.; Kostyanovsky, V. R.; Kadorkina, G. K.; Lyssenko, K. A. Mendeleev Commun. 2003, 111– 113; (f) Lenev, D. A.; Lyssenko, K. A.; Golovanov, D. G.; Buss, V.; Kostyanovsky, R. G. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 6412–6418; (g) Kostyanovsky, R. G. Mendeleev Commun. 2004, 229–230; (h) Lenev, D. A., Ph.D. Thesis, Moscow State University, 2004.
- (a) Valík, M.; Matějka, P.; Herdtweck, E.; Král, V.; Dolenský, B. Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun. 2006, 71, 1278– 1302; (b) Mas, T.; Pardo, C.; Salort, F.; Elguero, J.; Torres, M. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 1097–1104; (c) Hansson, A.; Wixe, T.; Bergquist, K.-E.; Wärnmark, K. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2019–2022; (d) Artacho, J.; Nilsson, P.; Bergquist, K.-E.; Wendt, O. F.; Wärnmark, K. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 2692–2701; (e) Valík, M.; Dolenský, B.; Herdtweck, E.; Král, V. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 1969–1974.
- (a) Häring, M. Helv. Chim. Acta 1963, 46, 2970–2982; (b) Weber, E.; Müller, U.; Worsch, D.; Vögtle, F.; Will, G.; Kirfel, A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1578– 1580; (c) Lenev, D. A.; Golovanov, D. G.; Lyssenko, K. A.; Kostyanovsky, R. G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 2191–2194.
- (a) Harmata, M.; Carter, K. W.; Jones, D. E.; Kahraman, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 6267–6270; (b) Harmata, M.; Kahraman, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 2875–2879.
- 8. Spielman, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1935, 57, 583-585.
- (a) Hamada, Y.; Mukai, S. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1996, 7, 2671–2674; (b) Ishida, Y.; Ito, H.; Mori, D.; Saigo, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 109–112.
- 10. Tröger, J. J. Prakt. Chem. 1887, 36, 225-245.
- 11. Lee, K. Y.; Gowrisankar, S.; Kim, J. N. Synlett 2006, 1389–1393.
- 12. Compound **4** ($R^1 = R^2 = R = Me$, $R^3 = CO_2Me$) was obtained from compound **2** ($R^1 = R^2 = Me^{6a}$ (1 mmol), dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (1 mmol) and BF₃:Et₂O

(1 mmol) by heating at 110 °C in a sealed ampoule for 3 h. The reaction mixture was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) and shaken with satd Na₂CO₃. The solvent was evaporated and the residue chromatographed on SiO₂ (EtOAc/petroleum ether). Yield 60%. Mp 167–168 °C (EtOAc). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), δ , ppm, J, Hz: 2.16 (s, 6H, 2Me), 2.38 (s, 3H, Me), 2.44 (s, 3H, Me), 3.65 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.76 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.83 (d, ²J = 17.0, 1H), 3.93 (d, ²J = 17.5, 1H), 4.20 (d, ²J = 17.5, 1H), 4.36 (d, ²J = 17.0, 1H), 5.87 (br s, 1H, C=CH_{a(b)}), 6.00 (d, ²J = 0.9, 1H, C=CH_{b(a)}), 6.44 (s, 2H, H(Ar)), 6.86 (s, 2H, H(Ar)). 13. *Crystallographic data:* Crystals of 4 (R¹ = R² = R = Me,

13. Crystallographic data: Crystals of **4** ($\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{M}e$, $\mathbb{R}^3 = CO_2 Me$) ($C_{25}H_{28}N_2O_4$, M = 420.49) are monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, at 100(2) K: a = 14.9601(8), b = 14.3875(8), c = 10.1669(6) Å, $\beta = 99.204(5)^{\circ}$, V = 2160.1(2) Å³, Z = 4, $d_{calc} = 1.293$ g cm⁻³. Intensities of 27,822 reflections were measured with a 'Smart APEX2' diffractometer (ω -scans, $\theta < 30.0^{\circ}$) and 18,052 independent reflections were used in further refinement. The refinement converged to wR2 = 0.1281 and GOF = 1.027 for all independent reflections (R1 = 0.04712 was calculated against *F* for 5227 observed reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. The crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC-631875. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from: The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44 1223 336033; e-mail: deposit @ccdc.cam.ac.uk or http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).